

B/42

5/2/24
DM

Tom,

THE SGT SHOULD CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING STARS IN THE PLANET DRIVE PROBLEM.

- (∞) , (00) IN LIGHT : (\mathbb{N}) : (\int_0) : (\int_{∞}) : (\mathbb{E}) : (\cdot) , (\cdot) IN NEAR UV : (\Rightarrow) , (\cdot) IN RED : (\int) : (\cdot) .

I AM INCLINED TO TAKE THIS AS A SUGGESTION TO DEVELOP THE ARGUMENTS IN THE 4-29-94 DM MOMO. I COULD ARGUE :

- THE PROCESS COULD BE REFINED BY INCLUDING DIFFERENTIALS IN THE FUNCTIONAL INTEGRAL LIMITS.

THIS IS A STRETCH, SO WE MIGHT CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING :

- THE BRIT. WRITUP (FOR EXAMPLE EQ. 822 $A_L' = \frac{\partial \chi_L}{\partial \chi_L} A_L$) MAY BE CONSIDERED A RESIDUAL FROM PROJECTIVE GEOMETRY, IF A VARIANT OF THE PARTIAL DIFFERENTIALS ARE PULLED IN THE LIMITS OF AN INTEGRAL THE EXPRESSION MIGHT BETTER BE ABLE TO ACCOMMODATE N^{th} ORDER HARMONICS OF DISSIMILAR LATTICES. THIS IS MY INTERPRETATION OF THE STAR (\int_{∞}) .
- THE STAR (\cdot) , (\cdot) IN NEAR UV MAY BE A SUGGESTION TO INCORPORATE THE NOTION OF ENERGY NULLS IN FLIGHT PATH ISOLATION. THIS PROBABLY IS A GENERALIZED DEVELOPMENT OF THE CHRISTOFFER NOTION (P. 545, EQ 834 - $\dot{\chi}_L + \{a, \beta, L\} \dot{\chi}_L \dot{\chi}_B = 0$).
- MASTERY OF THESE TWO TECHNIQUES MAY RESULT IN A FLIGHT PATH REDEFINED BY THE STAR (\Rightarrow) , (\cdot) IN RED.

YOU MAY WANT TO EVALUATE THESE AND PERHAPS FIND MORE.

7372



5/2/94
DM

Copyright © Paul D. Koster Work in Progress

Paul Koster

presented to: Tom Kiverson

The Womans Group

